



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/343,958	06/30/1999	SERGE JEAN MAURICE MISTER	0500.9904131	8512
23418 75	590 07/18/2006		EXAMINER	
VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ			ZAND, KAMBIZ	
222 N. LASALI CHICAGO, IL				PAPER NUMBER
cincado, il	00001		2132	
			DATE MAILED: 07/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/343,958	MISTER, SERGE JEAN MAURICE		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
	•	Kambiz Zand	2132		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	1	correspondence address		
Period fo	• -				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tild d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).		
Status					
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on RC	EE filed on 05/10/2006.			
2a)□	•——	nis action is non-final.			
3)[• •				
	closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application	on.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>10-15,24-29 and 42</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
-	Claim(s) <u>6-8,21-23 and 35-37</u> is/are objected				
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.			
Applicat	tion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 17 November 2005 is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objec	ted to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action of form P1O-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).		
a)) All b) Some * c) None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		red in this National Stage		
*	application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li		ed		
	See the attached detailed Office action for a n	st of the defined depice fiet receiv	1/		
			HAA!		
			KAMBIZ ZAND PRIMARY EXAMINER		
Attachme		4) T I=4	· •		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date		
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/343,958

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 05/10/2006 has been entered.
- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35,U.S.Code not included in this section can be found in the prior office action.
- 2. The prior office actions are incorporated herein by reference. In particular, the observations with respect to claim language, and response to previously presented arguments.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 9-14, 16, and 30 have been amended.
- 4. New claims 41-42 have been added.
- 5. Claims 1-42 are pending.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/343,958

Art Unit: 2132

As per applicant's arguments that Rivest do not provide the data that prevent interception of incoming data for the software application, examiner remained the applicant that the intended use of the data is not relevant as long as the prior art do disclose the process of the invention. Therefore the part of the limitation "for a software application" in not considered as a novel or an inventive step over prior art. The question is if the prior art disclose a data (could be a key or a non-key" that prevent the interception of the incoming data. Rivest clearly disclose the insertion of the fake data in order to prevent the interception of the actual data (original message). Also the question of "non-key based" insertion data is also misleading since any insertion of the data to an original message would change the original message by hiding the original message and in that sense it act as a key regardless of the nature of the inserted data or as Rivest calls it the fake data.

Examiner however found applicant's arguments with respect to claim 7 as persuasive, therefor the rejection of claims 7, 22 and 36 have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. Claims 1-4, 9, 16-19, 30-33, and 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rivest (Chaffing and winnowing: confidentiality without encryption, 24 April 1998) cited in the IDS by Applicant.

Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior arts of record in the body of this action for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the

Application/Control Number: 09/343,958

Art Unit: 2132

individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. Applicant should consider the entire prior art as applicable as to the limitations of the claims. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the response, to consider fully the entire references as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior arts or disclosed by the examiner.

As per claims 1, 16 and 30 Rivest teaches a method, apparatus and an storage medium for facilitating prevention of interception of incoming data that is provided for a software application, comprising: preventing interception of the incoming data, by providing non-key based insertion data for insertion as part of the incoming data (see page 1 where examiner consider "chaff" corresponding to Applicant's insertion data which is fake data as disclosed on page 2); storing the generated insertion data; and filtering received incoming data containing actual data and the insertion data (see page 1 and page 2) by comparing stored generated insertion data with incoming data to determine which data is actual data (see page 2 where it disclose filtering packet data that includes chaff as a fake insertion data; it is stored order as disclosed on page 2; also see authentication where examiner considers act of authentication as a comparison action; and where examiner considers the "original message" as corresponding to Applicant's actual data).

As per claims 2, 17 and 31 Rivest teach the method, apparatus and storage medium of claims 1, 16 and 30 including processing the actual data resultant from filtering for use by the software application (see page 2 and 3).

Application/Control Number: 09/343,958

Art Unit: 2132

As per claims 3, 18 and 32 Rivest teach the method, apparatus and storage medium of claims 1, 16 and 30 including receiving the generated insertion data and actual data from a data input source; and queuing the insertion data with actual data for output as the incoming data (see page 2 and 3).

As per claims 4, 19 and 33 Rivest teach the method, apparatus and storage medium of claims 1, 16 and 30 including analyzing foreground indication data and enabling generation of the insertion data in response to the foreground indication data (see pages 3 and 4).

As per claim 9 Rivest the method of claim 1 wherein providing includes: providing the insertion data, under control of the software application that is to receive the incoming data (see pages 3-7).

As per claims 38-40 Rivest discloses the insertion data as fake data (see page 2 and 3 where examiner considers the chaff as the fake data inserted to the original message that was broken into packets).

As per claim 41 Rivest teaches a method, apparatus and an storage medium for facilitating prevention of interception of incoming data that is provided for a software application, comprising: preventing interception of the incoming data, by providing non-

Application/Control Number: 09/343,958 Page 6

Art Unit: 2132

key based insertion data for insertion as part of the incoming data (see page 1 where examiner consider "chaff" corresponding to Applicant's insertion data which is fake data as disclosed on page 2); storing the generated insertion data; and filtering received incoming data containing actual data and the insertion data (see page 1 and page 2) by comparing stored generated insertion data with incoming data to determine which data is actual data (see page 2 where it disclose filtering packet data that includes chaff as a fake insertion data; it is stored order as disclosed on page 2; also see authentication where examiner considers act of authentication as a comparison action; and where examiner considers the "original message" as corresponding to Applicant's actual data), wherein providing includes: providing the insertion data, under control of the software application that is to receive the incoming data (see pages 3-7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 5, 20 and 34 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rivest (Chaffing and winnowing: confidentiality without encryption, 24 April 1998) cited in the IDS by Applicant in view of Fadem et al (4,744,077).

Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior arts of record in the body of this action for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. Applicant should consider the entire prior art as applicable as to the limitations of the claims. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the response, to consider fully the entire references as potentially

Application/Control Number: 09/343,958 Page 7

Art Unit: 2132

teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior arts or disclosed by the examiner.

As per claims 5, 20 and 34 Rivest disclose all limitation of the claim as applied to the claims 1, 16 and 30 but do not disclose explicitly the step of controlling timing of insertion data generation and output based on data queue parameters. However Fadem et al (4,744,077) teach the method, apparatus and storage medium of claims 1, 16 and 30 including the step of controlling timing of insertion data generation and output based on data queue parameters (see col.13, lines 19-46). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art to utilize fadem's control timing into Rivest packets stored order that corresponds to applicant's queue parameters in order to provide link flow control command in specific time slot (see col.2, lines 52-53).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 10-15, 24-29 and 42 are allowed.
- 9. Claims 6-8, 21-23 and 35-37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/343,958

Art Unit: 2132

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kambiz Zand whose telephone number is (571) 272-3811. The examiner can normally reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00-5:00). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (571) 272-3799. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned as (703) 872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Applications Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KAMBIZ ZAND PRIMARY EXAMINER

07/11/2006

AU 2132